UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,747	01/28/2005	Toshinori Furuhashi	1254-0267PUS1	9315
	7590 09/16/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH, VA 22040-0747	KARIMI, PEGEMAN		
FALLS CHURC	CΠ, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2629		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/522,747	FURUHASHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	PEGEMAN KARIMI	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 September 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		THOTRE ET WAS TO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They have the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			·
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 2 and 5. Claim(s) objected to: 13. Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3, 4, 6-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Chanh Nguyen/	/Pegeman Karimi/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629	Examiner, Art Unit 2629		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In claims 1, 3, 4, 6-12 requires further search and consideration wherein:

In claim 1 the newly presented claim has a deleted limitation wherein the examiner mentioned claim 13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph and the objection under CFR 1.75, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 3 has a newly added limitation of "wherein sampling of angular velocity values of the pointing ... of successive cycles of sampling, the image is panned a predetermined distance".

Claim 4 has a newly added limitation of "wherein sampling of angular velocity values of the pointing ... of successive cycles of sampling, the sub-screen is moved or enlarged a predetermined distance".

Claim 6 has a newly added limitation of "wherein sampling of angular velocity values of the pointing ... of successive cycles of sampling, the cursor or pointer is moved by a predetermined distance".

Claim 7 has a newly added limitation of "wherein sampling of angular velocity values of the pointing ... of successive cycles of sampling, the rate at which the pointer moves is changed a predetermined amount".

Claims 8 has a newly added limitation of "wherein sampling of angular velocity values of the pointing ... of successive cycles of sampling, the object is changed a predetermined amount".

Claims 9, 10, and 11 have a newly added limitation of "wherein sampling of angular velocity values of the pointing ... of successive cycles of sampling, the object changes a predetermined amount".

Claim 12 has a newly added limitation of "wherein sampling of angular velocity values of the pointing ... of successive cycles of sampling, the rate at which the pointer position moves is changed a predetermined amount".

The mentioned newly added limitation(s) requires further search and consideration.

2